

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/807,738	03/24/2004	Mark E. Thompson	10020/31102 6531		
26646 7590 09/21/2007 KENYON & KENYON LLP EXAMINER					
ONE BROADY		YAMNITZKY, MARIE ROSE			
NEW YORK, NY 10004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1774		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/21/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspto@kenyon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Applicant(s)	
THOMPSON ET AL.	
Art Unit	
1774	
	THOMPSON ET AL. Art Unit

	Marie R. Yamnitzky	1774	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 10 September 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THI		•	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
time periods:	of the final minution		
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	_	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	dianae with 27 CED 41 27 must be	filed within two month	ha of the data of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	, will <u>not</u> be entered b TE below);	ecause
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be	• •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			(DTO) 00 ()
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		impliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	·	•	•
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None.	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wi vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: <u>7-14 and 43-50</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5,6,15,16,20,21,28,29,31-33,35-39</u>	.41.42.51.52.56.57.64.65.67-69 an	d 71-73.	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 17-19,23-27,53-5	5,59-63 and 79-81.		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	It before or on the date of filing a North d sufficient reasons why the affidate and the sufficient reasons why the affidate are the sufficient to the sufficient to the sufficient and the sufficient to the sufficient and the sufficient to the sufficient and sufficient reasons why the affidate are sufficient to the sufficient and sufficient sufficient and sufficient sufficient are sufficient and sufficient are sufficient are sufficient and sufficient are sufficient and sufficient are sufficient and sufficient are sufficient and sufficient are sufficient are sufficient and sufficient are sufficient and sufficient are sufficient a	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

The variables "m" and "n" are not defined in proposed independent claims 7 and 43, thereby raising a new issue at least under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph. Entry of the proposed amendment would also require further consideration with respect to claims that stood withdrawn from consideration at final rejection but which will be subject to consideration upon allowance of a generic or linking claim.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Proposed amendment will not be entered for reasons noted above. Accordingly, status of claims remains as set forth in Office action mailed April 18, 2007.

> Marie R. Yamukky MARIE YAMNITZKY PRIMARY EXAMINER